

The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

NOAH SAEEDY et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-01104-BJR

STIPULATION AND ORDER  
EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO  
THE COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING  
INITIAL DISCOVERY DEADLINES [DKT.  
29]

Plaintiffs Noah Saeedy, Vishal Shah, Tina Wilkinson, and M.S. and Defendant Microsoft Corporation, by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

1. Plaintiffs initiated this lawsuit on July 21, 2023, and served Microsoft with the Complaint and Summons on July 26, 2023.

2. The parties agree, subject to the Court's approval, that Microsoft may have until September 29, 2023 to respond to the Complaint by answer, a Rule 12 motion, and/or a motion to compel arbitration.

3. The parties further agree, subject to the Court's approval, that the deadlines set forth in the Court's Order Regarding Initial Disclosures and Joint Status Report FRCP 26(f) [Dkt. 29] shall be extended as follows, dated from the earlier of either when the Court's issues its

STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING TIME  
TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND EXTENDING  
INITIAL DISCOVERY DEADLINES [DKT. 29] (2:23-cv-01104-BJR) - 1

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP  
LAW OFFICES  
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300  
Seattle, WA 98104-1610  
206.622.3150

Order on a responsive motion filed by Defendant or when the Defendant files its Answer: Rule 26 Conference (2 weeks after Order(s) or Answer); Initial Disclosures (4 weeks after Order(s) or Answer); Joint Status Report (6 weeks after Order(s) or Answer).

4. Good cause exists to extend the deadline to respond to the Complaint in order to provide Defendant additional time to consider the issues presented in the Complaint and for the parties to engage in the necessary meet and confer process required by the Court prior to the filing of any motion that may be dispositive in whole or part of the Complaint. Good cause exists to extend the initial discovery deadlines because it will conserve both the parties' and the Court's resources prior to a decision on a potential dispositive motion or an Answer by Defendants.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED this 7th day of September, 2023.

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant Microsoft Corporation

By s/ Jaime Drozd

Steven M. Rummage, WSBA No. 11168

Fred B. Burnside, WSBA No. 32491

Jaime Drozd, WSBA No. 35742

Xiang Li, WSBA No. 52306

Caleah N. Whitten, WSBA No. 60209

920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300

Seattle, WA 98104-1610

206.622.3150

[stevenrummage@dwt.com](mailto:stevenrummage@dwt.com)

[fredburnside@dwt.com](mailto:fredburnside@dwt.com)

[jaimedrozd@dwt.com](mailto:jaimedrozd@dwt.com)

[xiangli@dwt.com](mailto:xiangli@dwt.com)

[caleahwhitten@dwt.com](mailto:caleahwhitten@dwt.com)

James Moon, *pro hac vice*  
865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2400  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-6800  
213.633.6899  
[jamesmoon@dwt.com](mailto:jamesmoon@dwt.com)

SUMMIT LAW GROUP, PLLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By s/ Alexander A. Baehr  
Alexander A. Baehr, WSBA No. 25320  
315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000  
Seattle, WA 98104-2682  
206.676.7000  
[alexb@summitlaw.com](mailto:alexb@summitlaw.com)

BIRD, MARELLA, BOXER, WOLPERT,  
NESSIM, DROOKS, LINCENBERG & RHOW,  
PC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By s/ Marc E. Masters  
Ekwan E. Rhow, *pro hac vice*  
Marc E. Masters, *pro hac vice*  
Barr Benyamin, *pro hac vice*  
1875 Century Park East, 23rd Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2253  
310.201.2100  
[erhow@birdmarella.com](mailto:erhow@birdmarella.com)  
[mmasters@birdmarella.com](mailto:mmasters@birdmarella.com)  
[bbenyamin@birdmarella.com](mailto:bbenyamin@birdmarella.com)

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By s/ Jonathan Rotter  
Jonathan Rotter, *pro hac vice*  
Raymond D. Sulentic, *pro hac vice*  
Kara M. Wolke, *pro hac vice*  
1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2722  
310.201.9150  
[jrotter@glancylaw.com](mailto:jrotter@glancylaw.com)  
[kwolke@glancylaw.com](mailto:kwolke@glancylaw.com)  
[prajesh@glancylaw.com](mailto:prajesh@glancylaw.com)

CLARKSON LAW FIRM, P.C.  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By s/ Glenn A. Danas

Glenn A. Danas, *pro hac vice*

Yana Hart, *pro hac vice*

Katelyn M. Leeviraphan, *pro hac vice*

22525 Pacific Coast Highway

Malibu, CA 90265-5807

213.755.4050

[gdanas@clarksonlawfirm.com](mailto:gdanas@clarksonlawfirm.com)

[yhart@clarksonlawfirm.com](mailto:yhart@clarksonlawfirm.com)

[kleeviraphan@clarksonlawfirm.com](mailto:kleeviraphan@clarksonlawfirm.com)

**ORDER**

Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. The deadline for Microsoft's response to the Complaint is extended to September 29, 2023. Initial Scheduling Dates, ECF No. 29, are hereby extended by 60 days. Further extensions may only be granted by the Court on a showing of good cause.

DATED this 27th day of September, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Barbara J. Rothstein", is written over a horizontal line.

Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein  
United States District Judge